215/288



217/288



221/285



223/286 ✓

Sub

222/286 ✓

Sub

217/286 ✓

i.e. 160 modified by 149/176



Sub

350 connected to 160 via 176

350 cut by 350D, 160 cut by 149

223 / 288



221 / 286



215/286 ✓



i.e.

354 and 350 appear as the "parent" or 1st generation features

350 is cut into by 350D and 354 A as well as 176

354 is cut into by 354 A and 354 D

176 cut into 350 (the fill not the mold) and connects 350 D ? w/ 149

?

219/286



9.30

80 / 744 80

72

24

225 / 288 ✓



Transit. to subsoil via 2 ?

220/284



219/288



221/290



219/284 ✓



217/284 ✓



215/284 ✓



223/284



215/290



217 290



225/290



FS exposed along 290 line

211
343
345
368
384
382
326
362

219/290



transformed to subset

219/286



223/290



~~217/294~~

223 / 286 (1x2)

7031 $\boxed{1}$ A 3/2

7069 $\boxed{2}$ B 4/4 w/ 5/6 & 5YR 5/6 pebbly soil see 22

F73 and subsoil



225/288



1 2/2	8288–8291	11	A	3/2
	8394–8397	12	B	3/3 w/ 4/4 pebbles
	8490–8493		C	very mottled out exc. as of 8/11/87

11 F368 erps base of B

219 / 294

8836 [1] A 10YR 3/2 vy dk grysh brown lmy slt

Features

subsoil

F235 B/C at "tip" of fish hook

F235 M actually poked through A

portion of F 485 exposed at base of F235 M

F 486 exposed at base of ~~#~~ F235 M

portion of F 235 exposed base of A

F 487 " " " ~~#~~ F235 M

F 536 exp. base of ~~A~~ F235 M

layer B → the pebble layer. Thoroughly mixed w/ what be come F235 M and not separated out.

F235 M = a layer of brick rubble w/ a very high occurrence of domestic trash → a hidden layer (too much to be incidental?)

221 294



9148 1 A 10YR 3/2

9202 2 B 10YR 4/2 w/ pebbles

F509 exp base of 508M → cuts through 508N more evidence that 508M should be redesignated

F235N exp " " 509M

Layer C [subsoil] exp " " 509M



F235M underlay A in NW portion of unit.

I.E. Layer B either abutts 235 or was cut by F235

F508M → a layer of fill should, perhaps, be redesignated regular Layer exp. base of A

exposed Base of A: B 235M 508M

F510 a pad of stones exposed base of 508M

F508N underlay F510

exposed base of B (more or less) 508M 485

F235N, O, P limited to trench, excavated as layers in this unit. Very slight differences can be lumped into 1 layer

F485 exposed base of B

508M

F508 N, O, P

apparently "cap" 485 proper i.e. exposed but not defined until base of 508M

maybe 2 post holes in 485

485B Layer M exposed w/in 485A Layer M

i.e. 485A and 485B

217/294

7805–7808 [1] A 3/2 F235 exp., 236 exp

7839, 7840 7870, 7872 [2] B 5/3 compact loam w/pebbles
Fs. 250, 244, exp., 540, 543 exp.

NB Pebble layer terminates abruptly at F235 M as seen in S wall profile of this unit. We know from other units that in some areas Layer B is mixed an inseparable from F235 M.

check this against logs

V B

217 / 292

8776–8779	1	A	10YR 5/3 brown topsoil
8817–8820	2	B	5/2 grysh brw slty lm w/ pebbles
8925–8928	3	C	7/4 ryple brown w/ 5/3 slty lm

portion of F 235 exposed base of B

F 459 exp. " " B

surface stain ← ? F 450 exp " " C

portion of F 216 apparent " " C

215/292

8603-8606 [1] A 3/2

8652-8655 [2] B 4/4 many plough scars exposed.

8703-8706 [3] C 5/7 clay silt transitional to subsoil

planting or plough scars base

7 B

219/292

Extra layer

7965 - 7968 [11] A 3/2

7985 - 7987 [12] B 5/3 w/ pebbles

[13] C 5/4 yllw brw cly 1m [exposed base of B

F235M exposed base of B (as is C)

F517 exp base of F235N

517 capped by F235M and possibly N. Could be earlier or contemporaneous, since the fill in 235 is not specific to the trench portion of the feature i.e. M and part of N "spill" out of trench

F235N = 3/4 c.5-8cm

F235O 5/3 3cm

F235P 5/4 3cm

F235M exp base of B

F235N exp. base of F235M

F235O exp base of F235N

F235P exp base of F235O

A overlays

B overlays

C - 235M overlays

↓

235N, O, P → 235N overlays ? 517

217 292



235M atop 520

520 exposed @ base of 235M, however, all of 235 cuts through 520, ~~but~~

219/298

7606-7609



A 3/3

B 5/3 brown pebbles only in S½ of unit

subsoil

removal of A exp. F253 in N½ of unit and Layer B in S½ of unit

removal of B in S½ of unit exposed several other stains that upon subsequent investigations turned out to be shallow stains probably associated w/ F253

removal of the southern portion of F253 exposed subsoil.

215 / 298

8149-8151 [1] A 3/2 silty loam

8195, 8196 [2] B 4/3 w/ pebbles mottled 5/3 silty loam

[3] C → subsoil

removal of Layer A exposed F253 and Layer B

removal of Lay B exposed a number of other stains that were assigned feature nos. but later resolved into F253

1
129
406
200A
3
2
4
235M
508M
164
145
163
146
487
486
510
485
235N
517
508N
235O
508O
508P

3



3/8/88

3



217/286
219/284, 286, 288, 290
221/286, 288, 290
223/284, 286, 290

Layer 2 (FG T)
F73 built
Layer 22
pit dug

spatially 163 and 164 beneath [3]
but [4] is temporally earlier than [3] do we place (163) (164) beneath → [3] [4] or → [3] (163) (164) [4] ?

326 C
Base of

221 / 288

7757 [1] A 3/2

S½ ← 7804 [2] { B 3/3 silt loam ~~no pebbles~~ ?
N½ — 8719 8720 F129B { B 4/3 5/4 some pebbles
8772-8775 F129C C 4/4 w/ 4/3
D - subsoil

F129 exp. base of A.
F 223 exp base of B

see profile

Fs. 427 ~~426~~ 425 and 326 exp. base of
C

221/286

(w/in 129 ✓

7117 11 A 3/3

7317 12 B 3/6 ~~to 3/8~~

7356 13 C 3/4 w/ 4/4
subsoil 5/8

removal of A exposed F129, B, and a patch of subsoil along west wall

removal of B exposed layer C and more subsoil along w. wall

removal of C exposed Fs. 145 & 146 and subsoil throughout the remainder of the court

215, 217, 219, 223, 225 / 290



215, 217, 219, 221, 223, 225 / 288



215, 217, 219, 221, 223, 225 / 286



215, 217, 219, 220, 223 / 284

215, 217, 219, 221, 223, 225 / 290, 288, 286

215, 217, 219, 220 / 284

look @ forms for units.

S 223 221 219

E 290 292



F 225 1290

first bit of rubble exposed

8862-8865 $\boxed{1}$ A 3/3 ~~368 exposed.~~

8970-8973 $\boxed{2}$ B 4/3 some pebbles 368 better exp.

C 4/4 not excavated

F368 did not become defined until the base of 368 M. It was believed this post was pulled and ~~the~~ fill material dumped atop the area. Hence, the materials exposed @ base of A and w/in B, as well as 368M do not date the feature but its pulling.

extra layer

223/290

extra layer

8417-8420 $\boxed{1}$ - A 3/2

8482-8485 $\boxed{2}$ - B 4/3 pebbles

$\boxed{3}$ - C 4/4 clay silt

Fa 382, 38[illegible] ?

215 / 290

A 8239-8242 [1] A 2.5 YR 4/4 should prob. be 10 YR 4/4
a few pebbles

B 8270-8271

C 8272-8274 [3] C 4/3 no pebbles [2] B 4/3 sandy loam c/pebbles

12aC 343 345 Fs exposed beneath the B/C layer

removal of A exposed B and C
removal of B and C exposed Fs 343, 345

lump B/C

21

217/290

7680 [1] A 4/4

7701-7704 [2] B 3/3 h 3/2 w/ many rounded pebbles

subsoil 5/4 yellow brown silty clay

FS 345 (AKA 216) 2211 exposed

base of VS

219 / 284 (1x2)

edge of pit → edge of construction site area assoc. w/ FS 73, 6

7493 [1] A N1/2 5/4 S1/2 4/3 NB ~~A~~

7496 [2] B and subsoil
↳ 3/6 ↳ 5/4 N1/2

[3] Fs. 164/163 sub exposed throughout remainder of unit.

217 1284 (1x2)

7612 III A 3/4

subsoil

215/284 (1x2)

8667 [1] A 4/3

8668 [2] B 5/4 no pebbles F 354 exp.

subsoil 7.5YR 5/6

222
223 / 283

N
O
stuff on top of 73
A
2
22

7016 [1] F6 Layer N 4/3
[2] F6 Layer O 4/4
[3] A 5/6 to 5/8 ?
[4] 2
[5] 22

from profile of unit:

F6 O is near the present ground surface in the center of the 1x2 (c 222/282) and slopes down to the West.

Overlapping and partially overlaying is F6 N

Both overlay [3] which was called A perhaps the buried former ground surface

[4] = 2 is a pocket of fill (in profile) at the western edge of F73 it abuts [3]

[5] = 22 underlays [4]



220 / 284 (1x1)

7517 [1] A 4/3

7527 [2] B 5/8 no pebbles Fs. 163 & 164 exposed (base)

[3] C → sub 5/6

Fs 163 and 164 excavated into subsoil

exposed @ base of B

219/288

7654	1	A	3/2	
7678, 7679	2	B	3/3 w/ pebbles	N½
8665, 8666	2	B	3/3 w/ pebbles	S. 30 cm

C not excavated 4/6 transitional to subsoil

exc. of Layer A exp F 200 A, F129
Layer B and Layer C

removal of Layer B (½ N½ only)
exposed Fs. 211, 199, 212, 212A,
and subsoil

In S½ of unit B was present in an approx.
50 cm wide strip which extended into
S½ from N½ where it was throughout
the unit (i.e. 1.5 meters N/S of Layer B
deposits, stopped @ S 219.5 and abutted
the north end of F129.

219/288



B and C in place 406 cuts through
B and C or B 406 in place B and C
deposited

F 129 exposed at base of A

B on one side of 129 / C on
other side (B outside - C inside)



129 and 200 at base of A
Layer B and C also 1' B and C horizontally
contiguous (profile shows 200A underlaying B)

221/290

8191-8194	$\boxed{1}$	A	3/2	
	↓			
8225-8228	$\boxed{2}$	B	4/3	pebbles
	|			
8248, 8249	$\boxed{3}$	C	4/4	129B
	|			
	$\boxed{4}$	D	5/4	subsoil

F 326 e/p base of B

extra lens

219/290

8284-8287 $\boxed{11}$ A 3/2 some pebbles

8363-8363 $\boxed{12}$ B 4/4 grnd surface

$\boxed{13}$ C 4/4 brown clayey silt ~~[illegible]~~

subsoil

→ transitional layer at top of B

F211 exps base of B.

Fs 326, 362 exps base of B

B 129B fill

219/286

7362 [1] A 3/2 to 4/2 F129 exp.

7403 (129B) [3] 129B 3/4 high concentration of brick
this layer is designated C elsewhere w/in F129

7411 pebbles [2] B horiz. contigious w/ B, pebble layer (5/3 to 5/4) design. Layer B elsewhere in east side yard.
[2]

[1]
[2] [3]

Fs. 146/160 exp at base of C

[1]

215 / 288

8593-
8596 $\boxed{1}$ A 3/2 to 3/3

8734-
8737 $\boxed{2}$ B 4/4 w/ pebbles
FS 201 and plough scars exp.

Sub soil 5/6 not MC.

222/286

7052 [1] A 3/2

7055 [2] B 4/6 w/ 5/6 exposed F73

1

F73

217 1286

7575	11	A	3/4
7602	12	B	5/4 to 4/2 w/ pebbles
		C	5/6 subsoil exp. at base of A in SW corner of unit

Fs 149/160 176, 177, 175, 350 exps base of layer B, subsoil exposed throughout remainder of the unit.

215 / 286 (2x2)

8250 - 8253

1 A 3/3

2 B 5/4 no pebbles

Fs. 350, 3~~51~~, 3~~52~~, 3~~53~~, 354, 176 exp.

subsoil 5/8

features cut into subsoil capped by A & B

check this one extra layer ? 129

Ewall see profile

223/288

for

	7606	$\boxed{1}$	A	3/2	129 exp.
223 286	8744-8747	$\boxed{2}$	B	3/3 w/ pebbles	only 5 of F129? → not regular B layer? ~~418 exp.~~ VOID
	8856-8859		C	5/4	F5 445, 444 exp.
			D	subsoil	

assume B is 129B

C w/in boundaries of 129, Layer B apparently surrounded F129 in this unit this is significant because in the north Layer B abutted F129 but did not extend into area of F129

217/288

7624 [11] A 4/4

7637- [12] B 3/3 of many pebbles in S½
7643
7644
7645

subsoil

most the pebbles seemed to have been in S½ of unit
most of the artifacts were above w/ the pebbles

Fs 199, 201 exposed base of B also 200A